

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

5600 American Boulevard West, Suite 990 Bloomington, Minnesota 55437-1458



IN REPLY REFER TO:

FWS/AES/TE/DTS057416

JUN - 2 2014

Honorable Glenn 'GT' Thompson House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Thompson:

This letter is in response to your correspondence of May 14, 2014, regarding the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (Service) October 2, 2013 proposal to list the northern long-eared bat as an Endangered Species pursuant to the Endangered Species Act (ESA). An identical letter is being sent to each member of the Pennsylvania Delegation who signed the original correspondence.

Your letter notes that White-Nose Syndrome (WNS) is the lone basis for our proposed listing of the northern long-eared bat as endangered, but that economic activities that would be most affected by the listing have had little impact on population numbers or the decline of the species. We agree that no other threat to the species is as severe or immediate as WNS, and that absent WNS we would not anticipate that the species would warrant listing. However, under the listing criteria set forth in the ESA, only one threat (depending on level of impact to species) may warrant listing of a species. While there have been other, less severe threats to the species identified (e.g., cave modifications, human disturbance in caves, summer habitat modification, and wind power development), WNS appears to be the predominant threat facing this species.

You requested that if the Service continues to believe that the northern long-eared bat "warrants listing or necessary tools for protection," that the Service should list the species as "threatened." You note that such a designation would enable the Service to promulgate a special "4(d) rule" to address the threat of WNS "while allowing activities that minimally affect the bat to continue." We thank you for this comment, and assure you that we are weighing all options available to us under the ESA. The rulemaking process we follow to list a species under the ESA demands that we not pre-determine the final decision. We are currently evaluating all of the information received during the comment period. The terms "endangered" and "threatened" are defined in the ESA, and these statutory definitions do not afford us the ability to consider the economic impact of a listing. Rather, we must determine whether the species is "in danger of extinction" (Endangered) or, alternatively, "likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future" (Threatened). Ultimately, our final listing decision must be based "solely on the basis of the best scientific and commercial data available" 16 U.S. C. 1533(b)(1)(A). If, after our analysis is complete, we determine that a threatened status is more appropriate than the proposed endangered status, then we will also consider whether a 4(d) rule is necessary and advisable to the conservation of the species.

You or your staff may contact me, or our Midwest Regional Office, (612) 713-5301 if you have any questions or need additional information regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

Thomas O. Melius Regional Director

omes O. Melins



FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

5600 American Boulevard West, Suite 990 Bloomington, Minnesota 55437-1458



IN REPLY REFER TO:

FWS/AES/TE/DTS057416

JUN - 2 2014

Honorable Mike Kelly House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Kelly:

This letter is in response to your correspondence of May 14, 2014, regarding the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (Service) October 2, 2013 proposal to list the northern long-eared bat as an Endangered Species pursuant to the Endangered Species Act (ESA). An identical letter is being sent to each member of the Pennsylvania Delegation who signed the original correspondence.

Your letter notes that White-Nose Syndrome (WNS) is the lone basis for our proposed listing of the northern long-eared bat as endangered, but that economic activities that would be most affected by the listing have had little impact on population numbers or the decline of the species. We agree that no other threat to the species is as severe or immediate as WNS, and that absent WNS we would not anticipate that the species would warrant listing. However, under the listing criteria set forth in the ESA, only one threat (depending on level of impact to species) may warrant listing of a species. While there have been other, less severe threats to the species identified (*e.g.*, cave modifications, human disturbance in caves, summer habitat modification, and wind power development), WNS appears to be the predominant threat facing this species.

You requested that if the Service continues to believe that the northern long-eared bat "warrants listing or necessary tools for protection," that the Service should list the species as "threatened." You note that such a designation would enable the Service to promulgate a special "4(d) rule" to address the threat of WNS "while allowing activities that minimally affect the bat to continue." We thank you for this comment, and assure you that we are weighing all options available to us under the ESA. The rulemaking process we follow to list a species under the ESA demands that we not pre-determine the final decision. We are currently evaluating all of the information received during the comment period. The terms "endangered" and "threatened" are defined in the ESA, and these statutory definitions do not afford us the ability to consider the economic impact of a listing. Rather, we must determine whether the species is "in danger of extinction" (Endangered) or, alternatively, "likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future" (Threatened). Ultimately, our final listing decision must be based "solely on the basis of the best scientific and commercial data available" 16 U.S. C. 1533(b)(1)(A). If, after our analysis is complete, we determine that a threatened status is more appropriate than the proposed endangered status, then we will also consider whether a 4(d) rule is necessary and advisable to the conservation of the species.

You or your staff may contact me, or our Midwest Regional Office, (612) 713-5301 if you have any questions or need additional information regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

Thomas O. Melius Regional Director

omes O. Melus



FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

5600 American Boulevard West, Suite 990 Bloomington, Minnesota 55437-1458



IN REPLY REFER TO:

FWS/AES/TE/DTS057416

JUN - 2 2014

Honorable Bill Shuster House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Shuster:

This letter is in response to your correspondence of May 14, 2014, regarding the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (Service) October 2, 2013 proposal to list the northern long-eared bat as an Endangered Species pursuant to the Endangered Species Act (ESA). An identical letter is being sent to each member of the Pennsylvania Delegation who signed the original correspondence.

Your letter notes that White-Nose Syndrome (WNS) is the lone basis for our proposed listing of the northern long-eared bat as endangered, but that economic activities that would be most affected by the listing have had little impact on population numbers or the decline of the species. We agree that no other threat to the species is as severe or immediate as WNS, and that absent WNS we would not anticipate that the species would warrant listing. However, under the listing criteria set forth in the ESA, only one threat (depending on level of impact to species) may warrant listing of a species. While there have been other, less severe threats to the species identified (*e.g.*, cave modifications, human disturbance in caves, summer habitat modification, and wind power development), WNS appears to be the predominant threat facing this species.

You requested that if the Service continues to believe that the northern long-eared bat "warrants listing or necessary tools for protection," that the Service should list the species as "threatened." You note that such a designation would enable the Service to promulgate a special "4(d) rule" to address the threat of WNS "while allowing activities that minimally affect the bat to continue." We thank you for this comment, and assure you that we are weighing all options available to us under the ESA. The rulemaking process we follow to list a species under the ESA demands that we not pre-determine the final decision. We are currently evaluating all of the information received during the comment period. The terms "endangered" and "threatened" are defined in the ESA, and these statutory definitions do not afford us the ability to consider the economic impact of a listing. Rather, we must determine whether the species is "in danger of extinction" (Endangered) or, alternatively, "likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future" (Threatened). Ultimately, our final listing decision must be based "solely on the basis of the best scientific and commercial data available" 16 U.S. C. 1533(b)(1)(A). If, after our analysis is complete, we determine that a threatened status is more appropriate than the proposed endangered status, then we will also consider whether a 4(d) rule is necessary and advisable to the conservation of the species.

You or your staff may contact me, or our Midwest Regional Office, (612) 713-5301 if you have any questions or need additional information regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

Thomas O. Melius Regional Director

omas O. Melins



FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

5600 American Boulevard West, Suite 990 Bloomington, Minnesota 55437-1458



IN REPLY REFER TO

FWS/AES/TE/DTS057416

JUN - 2 2014

Honorable Tom Marino House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Marino:

This letter is in response to your correspondence of May 14, 2014, regarding the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (Service) October 2, 2013 proposal to list the northern long-eared bat as an Endangered Species pursuant to the Endangered Species Act (ESA). An identical letter is being sent to each member of the Pennsylvania Delegation who signed the original correspondence.

Your letter notes that White-Nose Syndrome (WNS) is the lone basis for our proposed listing of the northern long-eared bat as endangered, but that economic activities that would be most affected by the listing have had little impact on population numbers or the decline of the species. We agree that no other threat to the species is as severe or immediate as WNS, and that absent WNS we would not anticipate that the species would warrant listing. However, under the listing criteria set forth in the ESA, only one threat (depending on level of impact to species) may warrant listing of a species. While there have been other, less severe threats to the species identified (*e.g.*, cave modifications, human disturbance in caves, summer habitat modification, and wind power development), WNS appears to be the predominant threat facing this species.

You requested that if the Service continues to believe that the northern long-eared bat "warrants listing or necessary tools for protection," that the Service should list the species as "threatened." You note that such a designation would enable the Service to promulgate a special "4(d) rule" to address the threat of WNS "while allowing activities that minimally affect the bat to continue." We thank you for this comment, and assure you that we are weighing all options available to us under the ESA. The rulemaking process we follow to list a species under the ESA demands that we not pre-determine the final decision. We are currently evaluating all of the information received during the comment period. The terms "endangered" and "threatened" are defined in the ESA, and these statutory definitions do not afford us the ability to consider the economic impact of a listing. Rather, we must determine whether the species is "in danger of extinction" (Endangered) or, alternatively, "likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future" (Threatened). Ultimately, our final listing decision must be based "solely on the basis of the best scientific and commercial data available" 16 U.S. C. 1533(b)(1)(A). If, after our analysis is complete, we determine that a threatened status is more appropriate than the proposed endangered status, then we will also consider whether a 4(d) rule is necessary and advisable to the conservation of the species.

You or your staff may contact me, or our Midwest Regional Office, (612) 713-5301 if you have any questions or need additional information regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

Thomas O. Melius Regional Director

omas O. Melus



FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

5600 American Boulevard West, Suite 990 Bloomington, Minnesota 55437-1458



IN REPLY REFER TO:

FWS/AES/TE/DTS057416

JUN - 2 2014

Honorable Scott Perry House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Perry:

This letter is in response to your correspondence of May 14, 2014, regarding the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (Service) October 2, 2013 proposal to list the northern long-eared bat as an Endangered Species pursuant to the Endangered Species Act (ESA). An identical letter is being sent to each member of the Pennsylvania Delegation who signed the original correspondence.

Your letter notes that White-Nose Syndrome (WNS) is the lone basis for our proposed listing of the northern long-eared bat as endangered, but that economic activities that would be most affected by the listing have had little impact on population numbers or the decline of the species. We agree that no other threat to the species is as severe or immediate as WNS, and that absent WNS we would not anticipate that the species would warrant listing. However, under the listing criteria set forth in the ESA, only one threat (depending on level of impact to species) may warrant listing of a species. While there have been other, less severe threats to the species identified (e.g., cave modifications, human disturbance in caves, summer habitat modification, and wind power development), WNS appears to be the predominant threat facing this species.

You requested that if the Service continues to believe that the northern long-eared bat "warrants listing or necessary tools for protection," that the Service should list the species as "threatened." You note that such a designation would enable the Service to promulgate a special "4(d) rule" to address the threat of WNS "while allowing activities that minimally affect the bat to continue." We thank you for this comment, and assure you that we are weighing all options available to us under the ESA. The rulemaking process we follow to list a species under the ESA demands that we not pre-determine the final decision. We are currently evaluating all of the information received during the comment period. The terms "endangered" and "threatened" are defined in the ESA, and these statutory definitions do not afford us the ability to consider the economic impact of a listing. Rather, we must determine whether the species is "in danger of extinction" (Endangered) or, alternatively, "likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future" (Threatened). Ultimately, our final listing decision must be based "solely on the basis of the best scientific and commercial data available" 16 U.S. C. 1533(b)(1)(A). If, after our analysis is complete, we determine that a threatened status is more appropriate than the proposed endangered status, then we will also consider whether a 4(d) rule is necessary and advisable to the conservation of the species.

You or your staff may contact me, or our Midwest Regional Office, (612) 713-5301 if you have any questions or need additional information regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

Thomas O. Melius Regional Director

omas O. Melus



FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

5600 American Boulevard West, Suite 990 Bloomington, Minnesota 55437-1458



IN REPLY REFER TO:

FWS/AES/TE/DTS057416

JUN - 2 2014

Honorable Keith Rothfus House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Rothfus:

This letter is in response to your correspondence of May 14, 2014, regarding the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (Service) October 2, 2013 proposal to list the northern long-eared bat as an Endangered Species pursuant to the Endangered Species Act (ESA). An identical letter is being sent to each member of the Pennsylvania Delegation who signed the original correspondence.

Your letter notes that White-Nose Syndrome (WNS) is the lone basis for our proposed listing of the northern long-eared bat as endangered, but that economic activities that would be most affected by the listing have had little impact on population numbers or the decline of the species. We agree that no other threat to the species is as severe or immediate as WNS, and that absent WNS we would not anticipate that the species would warrant listing. However, under the listing criteria set forth in the ESA, only one threat (depending on level of impact to species) may warrant listing of a species. While there have been other, less severe threats to the species identified (e.g., cave modifications, human disturbance in caves, summer habitat modification, and wind power development), WNS appears to be the predominant threat facing this species.

You requested that if the Service continues to believe that the northern long-eared bat "warrants listing or necessary tools for protection," that the Service should list the species as "threatened." You note that such a designation would enable the Service to promulgate a special "4(d) rule" to address the threat of WNS "while allowing activities that minimally affect the bat to continue." We thank you for this comment, and assure you that we are weighing all options available to us under the ESA. The rulemaking process we follow to list a species under the ESA demands that we not pre-determine the final decision. We are currently evaluating all of the information received during the comment period. The terms "endangered" and "threatened" are defined in the ESA, and these statutory definitions do not afford us the ability to consider the economic impact of a listing. Rather, we must determine whether the species is "in danger of extinction" (Endangered) or, alternatively, "likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future" (Threatened). Ultimately, our final listing decision must be based "solely on the basis of the best scientific and commercial data available" 16 U.S. C. 1533(b)(1)(A). If, after our analysis is complete, we determine that a threatened status is more appropriate than the proposed endangered status, then we will also consider whether a 4(d) rule is necessary and advisable to the conservation of the species.

You or your staff may contact me, or our Midwest Regional Office, (612) 713-5301 if you have any questions or need additional information regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

Thomas O. Melius Regional Director

wmas O. Melins



FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

5600 American Boulevard West, Suite 990 Bloomington, Minnesota 55437-1458



IN REPLY REFER TO:

FWS/AES/TE/DTS057416

JUN - 2 2014

Honorable Tim Murphy House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Murphy:

This letter is in response to your correspondence of May 14, 2014, regarding the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (Service) October 2, 2013 proposal to list the northern long-eared bat as an Endangered Species pursuant to the Endangered Species Act (ESA). An identical letter is being sent to each member of the Pennsylvania Delegation who signed the original correspondence.

Your letter notes that White-Nose Syndrome (WNS) is the lone basis for our proposed listing of the northern long-eared bat as endangered, but that economic activities that would be most affected by the listing have had little impact on population numbers or the decline of the species. We agree that no other threat to the species is as severe or immediate as WNS, and that absent WNS we would not anticipate that the species would warrant listing. However, under the listing criteria set forth in the ESA, only one threat (depending on level of impact to species) may warrant listing of a species. While there have been other, less severe threats to the species identified (*e.g.*, cave modifications, human disturbance in caves, summer habitat modification, and wind power development), WNS appears to be the predominant threat facing this species.

You requested that if the Service continues to believe that the northern long-eared bat "warrants listing or necessary tools for protection," that the Service should list the species as "threatened." You note that such a designation would enable the Service to promulgate a special "4(d) rule" to address the threat of WNS "while allowing activities that minimally affect the bat to continue." We thank you for this comment, and assure you that we are weighing all options available to us under the ESA. The rulemaking process we follow to list a species under the ESA demands that we not pre-determine the final decision. We are currently evaluating all of the information received during the comment period. The terms "endangered" and "threatened" are defined in the ESA, and these statutory definitions do not afford us the ability to consider the economic impact of a listing. Rather, we must determine whether the species is "in danger of extinction" (Endangered) or, alternatively, "likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future" (Threatened). Ultimately, our final listing decision must be based "solely on the basis of the best scientific and commercial data available" 16 U.S. C. 1533(b)(1)(A). If, after our analysis is complete, we determine that a threatened status is more appropriate than the proposed endangered status, then we will also consider whether a 4(d) rule is necessary and advisable to the conservation of the species.

You or your staff may contact me, or our Midwest Regional Office, (612) 713-5301 if you have any questions or need additional information regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

Thomas O. Melius Regional Director

omas O. Melins



FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

5600 American Boulevard West, Suite 990 Bloomington, Minnesota 55437-1458



IN REPLY REFER TO:

FWS/AES/TE/DTS057416

JUN - 2 2014

Honorable Lou Barletta House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Barletta:

This letter is in response to your correspondence of May 14, 2014, regarding the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (Service) October 2, 2013 proposal to list the northern long-eared bat as an Endangered Species pursuant to the Endangered Species Act (ESA). An identical letter is being sent to each member of the Pennsylvania Delegation who signed the original correspondence.

Your letter notes that White-Nose Syndrome (WNS) is the lone basis for our proposed listing of the northern long-eared bat as endangered, but that economic activities that would be most affected by the listing have had little impact on population numbers or the decline of the species. We agree that no other threat to the species is as severe or immediate as WNS, and that absent WNS we would not anticipate that the species would warrant listing. However, under the listing criteria set forth in the ESA, only one threat (depending on level of impact to species) may warrant listing of a species. While there have been other, less severe threats to the species identified (*e.g.*, cave modifications, human disturbance in caves, summer habitat modification, and wind power development), WNS appears to be the predominant threat facing this species.

You requested that if the Service continues to believe that the northern long-eared bat "warrants listing or necessary tools for protection," that the Service should list the species as "threatened." You note that such a designation would enable the Service to promulgate a special "4(d) rule" to address the threat of WNS "while allowing activities that minimally affect the bat to continue." We thank you for this comment, and assure you that we are weighing all options available to us under the ESA. The rulemaking process we follow to list a species under the ESA demands that we not pre-determine the final decision. We are currently evaluating all of the information received during the comment period. The terms "endangered" and "threatened" are defined in the ESA, and these statutory definitions do not afford us the ability to consider the economic impact of a listing. Rather, we must determine whether the species is "in danger of extinction" (Endangered) or, alternatively, "likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future" (Threatened). Ultimately, our final listing decision must be based "solely on the basis of the best scientific and commercial data available" 16 U.S. C. 1533(b)(1)(A). If, after our analysis is complete, we determine that a threatened status is more appropriate than the proposed endangered status, then we will also consider whether a 4(d) rule is necessary and advisable to the conservation of the species.

You or your staff may contact me, or our Midwest Regional Office, (612) 713-5301 if you have any questions or need additional information regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

Thomas O. Melius Regional Director

omas O. Melins



FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

5600 American Boulevard West, Suite 990 Bloomington, Minnesota 55437-1458



IN REPLY REFER TO

FWS/AES/TE/DTS057416

JUN - 2 2014

Honorable Charles Dent House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Dent:

This letter is in response to your correspondence of May 14, 2014, regarding the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (Service) October 2, 2013 proposal to list the northern long-eared bat as an Endangered Species pursuant to the Endangered Species Act (ESA). An identical letter is being sent to each member of the Pennsylvania Delegation who signed the original correspondence.

Your letter notes that White-Nose Syndrome (WNS) is the lone basis for our proposed listing of the northern long-eared bat as endangered, but that economic activities that would be most affected by the listing have had little impact on population numbers or the decline of the species. We agree that no other threat to the species is as severe or immediate as WNS, and that absent WNS we would not anticipate that the species would warrant listing. However, under the listing criteria set forth in the ESA, only one threat (depending on level of impact to species) may warrant listing of a species. While there have been other, less severe threats to the species identified (*e.g.*, cave modifications, human disturbance in caves, summer habitat modification, and wind power development), WNS appears to be the predominant threat facing this species.

You requested that if the Service continues to believe that the northern long-eared bat "warrants listing or necessary tools for protection," that the Service should list the species as "threatened." You note that such a designation would enable the Service to promulgate a special "4(d) rule" to address the threat of WNS "while allowing activities that minimally affect the bat to continue." We thank you for this comment, and assure you that we are weighing all options available to us under the ESA. The rulemaking process we follow to list a species under the ESA demands that we not pre-determine the final decision. We are currently evaluating all of the information received during the comment period. The terms "endangered" and "threatened" are defined in the ESA, and these statutory definitions do not afford us the ability to consider the economic impact of a listing. Rather, we must determine whether the species is "in danger of extinction" (Endangered) or, alternatively, "likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future" (Threatened). Ultimately, our final listing decision must be based "solely on the basis of the best scientific and commercial data available" 16 U.S. C. 1533(b)(1)(A). If, after our analysis is complete, we determine that a threatened status is more appropriate than the proposed endangered status, then we will also consider whether a 4(d) rule is necessary and advisable to the conservation of the species.

You or your staff may contact me, or our Midwest Regional Office, (612) 713-5301 if you have any questions or need additional information regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

Thomas O. Melius Regional Director

onas O. Molins